

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

NW

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/800,742 02/14/97 FIELD

1000-072A

PM92/0630

ROTHWELL FIGG ERNST & KURZ  
555 13TH STREET NW  
SUITE 701-E  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

EXAMINER

BOUCHER, D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3627

22

DATE MAILED:

06/30/00

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Vincent DeLuca (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Darnell Boucher (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview May 30, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1 - 20, 22 - 26

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Appellantsagreed that the Office action dated Jan. 26, 2000 vacating the  
Examiner's Answer of 3/15/99 was for the sole purpose  
of entering the double patenting rejections of claims 1 & 3  
which resulted in the subsequent filing of the T.D. on 5/12/00The Examiner's Answer is reinstated. All issues of appeal being addressed.  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) The appellants and Examiner both agree that the BPAI should

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Decide the issues set forth in Papers #14-16 since no issues have changed

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

Darnell Boucher  
DARNELL BOUCHER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER